**Howe Gastmeier Chapnik Limited** 2000 Argentia Road, Plaza One, Suite 203 Mississauga, Ontario, Canada L5N 1P7 t: 905.826.4044



# **Noise Feasibility Study Proposed Residential Development Nissouri Road & Thorndale Road** Thorndale, Ontario

Prepared for:

1732432 Ontario Ltd. 21964 Fairview Road Thorndale, ON N0M 2P0



April 10, 2023

HGC Project No: 02100251







VIBRATION

www.hgcengineering.com

# **VERSION CONTROL**

Ver.	Date	Version Description / Changelog	Prepared By
01	April 10, 2023	Noise Feasibility Study	M. Chan

## Limitations

This document was prepared solely for the addressed party and titled project or named part thereof, and should not be relied upon or used for any other project without obtaining prior written authorization from HGC Engineering. HGC Engineering accepts no responsibility or liability for any consequence of this document being used for a purpose other than for which it was commissioned. Any person or party using or relying on the document for such other purpose agrees, and will by such use or reliance be taken to confirm their agreement to indemnify HGC Engineering for all loss or damage resulting therefrom. HGC Engineering accepts no responsibility or liability or liability or liability or liability for this document to any person or party other than the party by whom it was commissioned.

Any conclusions and/or recommendations herein reflect the judgment of HGC Engineering based on information available at the time of preparation, and were developed in good faith on information provided by others, as noted in the report, which has been assumed to be factual and accurate. Changed conditions or information occurring or becoming known after the date of this report could affect the results and conclusions presented.







# **Table of Contents**

1	INT	TRODUCTION & SUMMARY	1
2	SIT	TE DESCRIPTION & NOISE SOURCES	2
3	CR	RITERIA	2
	3.1	Criteria Governing Road Traffic Noise	2
	3.2	Criteria for Stationary Sources of Sound	3
4	Tra	affic Noise Assessment	5
	4.1	Road Traffic Data	5
	4.2	Road Traffic Noise Predictions	5
	4.3	Recommendations for Traffic Noise	6
	4.3	3.1 Outdoor Living Areas	6
	4.3	3.2 Indoor Living Areas and Ventilation Requirements	7
	4.3	3.3 Building Façade Constructions	7
	4.3	3.4 Warning Clauses	8
5	STA	ATIONARY SOURCE NOISE ASSESSMENT	9
	5.1	Description of Nearby Industrial Facilities	9
	5.2	Noise Assessment	9
	5.3	Results	10
6	SU	MMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS	11
	6.1	Implementation	12
F	ioura 1	Key Dlan	

- Figure 1 -Key PlanFigure 2 -Site Servicing PlanEisure 2Assist Photo
- Figure 3 Aerial Photo
- Figure 4 Predicted Daytime Stationary Noise Sound Levels
- Figure 5 Plan Showing Noise Barrier and Ventilation Requirements

Appendix A to C







# 1 INTRODUCTION & SUMMARY

HGC Engineering was retained by 1732432 Ontario Ltd. to conduct a noise feasibility study for a proposed residential development to be located to the west of Nissouri Road and north of Thorndale Road in Thorndale Ontario. Lands surrounding the subject site are a mixture of residential, agricultural and industrial uses. The study is required by the Municipality as part of their planning and approvals process.

Site visits were conducted to identify and investigate the significant noise sources in the vicinity of the proposed development. Road traffic on Nissouri Road and Thorndale Road is the dominant noise source in the area requiring assessment. Noise from the nearest industrial uses across Thorndale Road was also identified as having the potential to impact the proposed residential development.

The traffic sound level predictions indicate that noise control measures should be incorporated into the design of the building envelopes such that indoor sound levels can comply with the MECP noise criteria. The recommended noise control measures include noise barriers to protect the backyards of the closest lots and the inclusion of forced air ventilation systems designed for future installation of central air conditioning by the occupant. Warning clauses are also recommended to inform the future residents of the traffic noise excesses.

The results of the assessment indicate that the predicted noise emissions from the nearby industrial facilities can be within the applicable noise guideline limits of the MECP at the proposed residential development with the implementation of noise controls as outlined in this report. An additional noise warning clause is recommended to inform all future residents of the presence of these facilities. A detailed noise study shall be conducted when grading plans are available to refine noise barrier requirements.







# 2 SITE DESCRIPTION & NOISE SOURCES

The proposed residential development is to be located to the west of Nissouri Road and north of Thorndale Road in Thorndale, Ontario. Figure 1 is a key plan. The Site Plan with a print date of February 2023 is shown as Figure 2. The proposed development will include 2-storey townhouses.

Site visits were made by HGC Engineering personnel to make observations of the acoustical environment in May and June 2021. The surrounding area is considered to be Class II (semi-urban) in terms of its acoustical environment. Figure 3 is an aerial photo which shows the site and the adjacent land uses. There are existing residences to the north, east and southeast of the site and existing industrial uses to the south. Further details regarding the nearby industrial facilities can be found in Section 5.0. The lands immediately to the west of the site, owned by the same landowners, are proposed to be a future aggregate extraction facility. It is understood that aggregate operations at the adjacent lands will be completed and ceased prior to the occupancy of the subject residential development.

# 3 CRITERIA

# 3.1 Criteria Governing Road Traffic Noise

Guidelines for acceptable levels of road traffic noise impacting residential developments are given in the MECP publication NPC-300 "Environment Noise Guideline Stationary and Transportation sources – Approval and Planning", release date October 21, 2013, and are listed in Table I below. The values in Table I are energy equivalent (average) sound levels [L<sub>EQ</sub>] in units of A-weighted decibels [dBA].

Area	Daytime L <sub>EQ(16 hour)</sub> Road	Nighttime L <sub>EQ(8 hour)</sub> Road	
Outdoor Living Areas	55 dBA		
Inside Living/Dining Rooms	45 dBA	45 dBA	
Inside Bedrooms	45 dBA	40 dBA	

Table I: MECP Road Noise Criteria (dBA	Table I:	I: MECP	Road Noise	Criteria	(dBA)
--	----------	---------	------------	----------	-------

These criteria apply to road vehicular traffic, including intercity transit busses operating on Municipal Streets. Daytime refers to the period between 07:00 and 23:00, while nighttime refers to







the period between 23:00 and 07:00. The term "Outdoor Living Area" (OLA) is used in reference to an outdoor patio, a backyard, a terrace or other area where passive recreation is expected to occur. Balconies that are less than 4 m in depth are not considered to be outdoor living areas under MECP guidelines.

The guidelines in the MECP publication allow the sound level in an Outdoor Living Area to be exceeded by up to 5 dBA, without mitigation, if warning clauses are placed in the property and tenancy agreements and offers of purchase and sale. Where OLA sound levels exceed 60 dBA, physical mitigation is required to reduce the OLA sound level to below 60 dBA and as close to 55 dBA as technically, economically and administratively feasible. Warning clauses to notify future residents of possible excesses are also required when daytime sound levels exceed 55 dBA in the outdoor living area.

A central air conditioning system as an alternative means of ventilation to open windows is required for dwellings where future nighttime sound levels outside bedroom/living/dining room windows will exceed 60 dBA. The provision for the future installation of central air conditioning is required when nighttime sound levels at bedroom/living/dining room windows are in the range of 51 to 60 dBA or when daytime sound levels at bedroom/living/dining room windows are in the range of 56 to 65 dBA. Sound attenuating building constructions and the use of warning clauses to notify future residents of possible excesses are also required when nighttime sound levels exceed 60 dBA at the plane of the bedroom window due to road traffic noise.

Warning clauses to notify future residents of possible sound level excesses are also required when nighttime sound levels exceed 50 dBA at the plane of a bedroom window due to road traffic.

# 3.2 Criteria for Stationary Sources of Sound

MECP Guideline NPC-300, "Environmental Noise Guideline Stationary and Transportation Sources – Approval and Planning" is the MECP guideline for use in investigating Land Use Compatibility issues with regard to noise. An industrial or commercial facility is classified in MECP guidelines as a stationary source of sound (as compared to sources such as traffic or construction, for example) for noise assessment purposes.

NPC-300 is intended for use in the planning of both residential and commercial/industrial land uses





VIBRATION

and provides the acceptability limits for sound due to commercial/industrial operations in that regard. The facade of a residence (i.e., in the plane of a window), or any associated usable outdoor area is considered a sensitive point of reception. NPC-300 stipulates that the exclusionary minimum sound level limit for a stationary noise source in a semi-urban Class 2 area is 50 dBA during daytime and evening hours (07:00 to 23:00) and 45 dBA during night-time hours (23:00 to 07:00) outside the windows, and 50 dBA during the day and 45 dBA during the evening in the OLA.

Where it can be demonstrated that the hourly ambient sound levels are greater than the exclusionary minimum limits listed above, the criterion becomes the lowest predicted one-hour  $L_{EQ}$  sound level during each respective period. At locations where the ambient sound levels are low, the exclusionary minimum criteria of 50/45 dBA apply along with a 50 dBA during the day and 45 dBA during the evening in the OLA would apply to the future residential dwellings. Representative 2-storey receptors location were chosen at the residential development site as indicated on Figures 3 to 4.

Commercial activities such as the occasional movement of customer/employee vehicles, garbage collection and activities associated with vehicle fuelling are not of themselves considered to be significant noise sources in the MECP guidelines. Accordingly, these sources have not been considered in this study. Noise from safety equipment (e.g. back-up beepers) is also exempt from consideration.

The MECP guidelines stipulate that the sound level impact during a "predicable worst-case hour" be considered. This is defined to be an hour when a typically busy "planned and predictable mode of operation" occurs at the subject facility, coincident with a period of minimal background sound.

Compliance with MECP criteria generally results in acceptable levels of sound at residential receptors although there may be residual audibility during periods of low background sound. NPC-300, also states that it is the developer's responsibility to ensure that the applicable sound level criteria are met. If noise sources are identified which generate excessive sound levels, source controls are generally favoured by the MECP.







# 4 Traffic Noise Assessment

# 4.1 Road Traffic Data

Traffic data for Nissouri Road and Thorndale Road was obtained from the County of Middlesex website and is included in Appendix A. The speed limit on Thorndale Road is 50 km/hr and the speed limit on Nissouri Road is 80 km/hr. An assumed day/night split of 90%/10% was used. A commercial vehicle percentage of 13% was split into 5% medium trucks and 8% heavy trucks for both roadways as per Ministry of Transportation guidelines. Table II summarizes the road traffic volume data used in this study.

Road Name		Cars	Medium Trucks	Heavy Trucks	Total
N:	Daytime	5 767	331	530	6 629
Nissouri	Nighttime	641	37	59	737
Koau	Total	6 408	368	589	7 366
Thorndale	Daytime	5 458	314	502	6 274
I horndale Doad	Nighttime	606	35	56	697
Koau	Total	6 064	349	558	<u>6 971</u>

Table II: Projected Road Traffic Data to 2034

# 4.2 Road Traffic Noise Predictions

To assess the levels of road traffic noise which will impact the site in the future, predictions were made at the upper storey windows and in the rear yard OLAs using STAMSON version 5.04, a computer algorithm developed by the MECP. The results are summarized in Table III. Sample STAMSON output is included in Appendix B.







Prediction Location	Description	Daytime in OLA, L <sub>EQ-16 hr</sub>	Daytime at Façade L <sub>EQ-16 hr</sub>	Nighttime at Façade L <sub>EQ-8hr</sub>
[A]	Flanking Unit to Thorndale Rd	<55	54	<50
[B]	Interior flanking unit	<55	<50	<50
[C]	Unit with reverse frontage to Thorndale Rd	61	61	55
[D]	Interior Unit	<55	<55	<50
[E]	Unit with reverse frontage to Nissouri Rd	66	65	59
[F]	Interior Unit	<55	55	<40

Table III: Future Road Traffic Sound Levels, [dBA]

## 4.3 Recommendations for Traffic Noise

The predictions indicate that traffic sound levels will exceed the MECP guidelines at the lots with exposure to the roadways and noise control measures are required. The following recommendations are provided.

#### 4.3.1 Outdoor Living Areas

#### Units with Rear Yards Adjacent to Thorndale

For the first row of units adjacent to Thorndale Road, the predicted sound levels in the OLAs will be 61 dBA. Calculations indicate that a 2.0 m noise barrier will reduce the sound levels in these OLA's to 56 dBA. To further reduce to 55 dBA, a slightly higher barrier of 2.1 m will be required.

#### Units with Rear Yards Adjacent to Nissouri Road

For the three blocks of townhouses adjacent to Nissouri Road (Location [E]) the predicted sound levels in the OLAs are as high as 66 dBA. Noise barriers are required to reduce the sound levels in these OLAs to less 60 dBA. A table of barrier heights to meet 55 to 59 dBA is provided below. The heights are provided based on a review of the preliminary grading plan and cross sections dated March 2023.







Table IV: Summary of Barrier Heights Required to Meet 55 to 59 dBA for Units Adjacent to
Nissouri Road

Prediction	Unit No.	Noise Barrier Height (m)				
Location		55 dBA	56 dBA	57 dBA	58 dBA	59 dBA
С	20 - 24	2.1	2.0			
E	66 - 76	3.6	3.3	3.0	2.7	2.4

The heights and extents of the barriers should be chosen, subject to the approval of the municipality and respecting any applicable fence height by-laws. The location and extent of the acoustical barriers are shown on Figure 5. All noise barriers must return back to the dwelling units so that the rear yards are entirely shielded from the roadway. The acoustic barrier can be a combination of an acoustic wall on top of an earth berm. The wall component of the barrier should be of a solid construction with a surface density of no less than 20 kg/m2. The walls may be constructed from a variety of materials such as wood, brick, pre-cast concrete or other concrete/wood composite systems provided that it is free of gaps or cracks.

The remaining units in the development do not have any noise barrier requirements.

#### 4.3.2 Indoor Living Areas and Ventilation Requirements

#### Forced Air Ventilation

The predicted daytime sound levels for the dwellings with exposure to Nissouri Road and Thorndale Road will be between 56 and 65 dBA. These dwelling units will require forced air ventilation systems with ducts sized to accommodate the future installation of air conditioning by the occupant. The installation of central air conditioning would also satisfy this requirement. The location, installation and sound ratings of the outdoor air conditioning devices should minimize noise impacts and comply with criteria of MECP publication NPC-300.

#### 4.3.3 Building Façade Constructions

The predicted daytime and nighttime sound levels at all dwellings in the development are less than 65 and 60 dBA respectively. Any building façade construction meeting the requirements of the Ontario Building Code will provide sufficient sound insulation.







#### 4.3.4 Warning Clauses

The MECP guidelines recommend that warning clauses be included in the property and tenancy

agreements and offers of purchase and sale for all units with anticipated traffic sound level excesses.

Examples are provided below.

Suggested wording for future dwellings which have sound level excess is given below.

Type A:

Purchasers/tenants are advised that sound levels due to increasing road traffic may occasionally interfere with some activities of the dwelling unit occupants as the sound levels exceed the sound level limits of the Municipality and the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks.

Suggested wording for future dwellings with daytime OLA sound levels exceeding the MECP

criteria by more than 5 dB, for which physical mitigation has been provided is given below.

Type B:

Purchasers/tenants are advised that despite the inclusion of noise control features in the development and within the building units, sound levels due to increasing road traffic may occasionally interfere with some activities of the dwelling occupants as the sound levels exceed the sound level limits of the Municipality and the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks.

Suitable wording for future dwellings requiring forced air ventilation systems is given below.

Type C:

This dwelling unit has been designed with the provision for adding central air conditioning at the occupant's discretion. The installation of central air conditioning by the occupant in low and medium density developments will allow windows and exterior doors to remain closed, thereby ensuring that the indoor sound levels are within the sound level limits of the municipality and the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks.

These sample clauses are provided by the MECP as examples and can be modified by the Municipality as required.







# 5 STATIONARY SOURCE NOISE ASSESSMENT

# 5.1 Description of Nearby Industrial Facilities

HGC Engineering visited the subject site to observe the nearby industrial operations and identify potentially significant sources of sound during the months of May and June 2021. The surrounding land uses are labelled on the aerial photo attached as Figure 3. The potentially significant industrial facilities are located to the south of Thorndale Road. These facilities are discussed below.

#### **TRS** Components

TRS Components is a manufacturer of wood trusses. The facility operates during daytime hours only. Two loaders were observed to be operating in the outdoor yard, moving finished products and loading them onto trailers. The outdoor yard is for the storage of finished products. Manufacturing was observed to take place inside the facility.

#### ADS

ADS is a manufacturer of plastic pipes and is located approximately 100 m to southwest of the site, west of TRS Components. The facility operates during daytime hours only. The area closest to the development, adjacent to Thorndale Road, is used for the storage of finished products. Two forklifts were observed to be operating in the outdoor yard. No manufacturing was observed outdoors.

# 5.2 Noise Assessment

### Steady Sources

Source sound levels for typical rooftop equipment and trucking activities, and assumed operational information (outlined below) were used as input to a predictive computer model (Cadna/A version 2021 Build 183.5110), in order to estimate the sound levels from the existing industrial buildings at the future residences. Cadna/A is a computer implementation of ISO Standard 9613-2, "Acoustics – Attenuation of Sound During Propagation Outdoors – Part 2: General Method of Calculation", which takes into account attenuation due to distance (geometrical spreading), shielding by intervening structures, air attenuation and ground absorption. Additional details are provided in Appendix C.

The sound power levels measured and obtained from similar facilities examined under other studies by HGC Engineering were used in the analysis and are summarized in Table V.





VIBRATION

	(	Octave	Band	Centre	Frequ	ency	[Hz]		
Source	63	125	25 0	500	1k	2k	<b>4</b> k	<b>8</b> k	Α
Truck Passby	101	100	94	96	97	95	91	86	101
Idling Truck Engine	96	91	88	88	91	90	81	70	95
Loader (John Deere 444)	105	100	97	98	100	96	85	77	103
Forklift	98	96	91	94	92	88	83	79	96

### Table V: Source Sound Power Levels [dB re 10-12 W]

The above outlined sound levels and various features of the site were used as input to a predictive computer model. Truck routes are identified as green lines and loader and forklift areas are hatched in Figure 4 and in Appendix C.

#### The following information and assumptions were used in the analysis:

- Both facilities operate during daytime hours only, typically from 8:00 am to 6:00 pm
- The height of the TRS Components building is assumed to be 5 m

#### Assumed worst-case hour scenario:

#### TRS Components

- 5 tractor trailers arrive and depart from the outdoor storage area.
- Two loaders and two forklifts are assumed to operate in the outdoor yard for 45 minutes, loading trucks or moving products within the yard.

#### ADS

- 5 tractor trailers arrive and depart from the outdoor storage area.
- Two forklifts are assumed to operate in the outdoor yard for 45 minutes, loading trucks or moving products within the yard.

## 5.3 Results

The calculations consider the acoustical effects of distance and shielding by the buildings. The predicted sound levels due to the trucking activities/deliveries (arriving, idling and departing) and storage yard activities at the closest façade of the proposed residences during an assumed worst-case busiest hour operating scenario, are summarized in Tables VI and on Figure 4.







# Table VI: Predicted Industrial Sound Levels at Subject Site during a Worst-case Hour Operational Scenario [dBA, Leq1hr]

Receptor	Criteria	Sound Level
R1	50	49
R2	50	48
R3	50	50
R4	50	48

The results of this analysis indicate that the stationary sources of sound can meet the applicable sound level limits at the proposed residences closest to the industrial facilities. Mitigation is not required.

The presence of the nearby industrial facilities should be addressed through the implementation of a noise warning clause in the offers of purchase and sale and tenancy agreements for the residential units in the development. An example of a suitable warning clause is provided below.

Type D:

Purchasers are advised that due to the proximity of the adjacent commercial/industrial facilities, sound from these facilities may at times be audible.

The sample clause is provided by the MECP as an example only and can be modified by the Municipality as required.

# **6 SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS**

The results of the study indicate that the proposed residential development is feasible. Noise control measures are recommended for units with exposure to the industrial facilities. The following list and Table VII summarizes the recommendations made in this report and shown on Figure 5.

- 1. Noise barriers are required for the rear yards of units adjacent to Nissouri and Thorndale Roads.
- 2. Forced air heating systems with ducts sized to accommodate the future installation of central air conditioning by the occupant are required for units with exposure to the roadways.





VIBRATION

 Warning clauses are required to inform future residents of the traffic noise impact and the presence of the nearby industrial facilities.

Location	Acoustic Barrier	Ventilation*	Type of Warning Clause	Building Façade Design
20 - 24	✓	Forced Air	A, B, C, D	OBC
66 - 76	✓	Forced Air	A, B, C, D	OBC
All Remaining			D	OBC
Units				

#### Table VII: Summary of Noise Control Requirements and Noise Warning Clauses

Note: -- no specific requirement

OBC - Ontario Building Code

\* The location, installation and sound rating of the air conditioning condensers must be compliant with MECP Guideline NPC-300.

The reader is referred to the previous sections of the report where these recommendations are discussed in more detail.

# 6.1 Implementation

- Prior to the issuance of building permits for this development, a Professional Engineer qualified to perform acoustical services in the Province of Ontario shall review the grading plans and builder's plans to ensure that the sound control measures as recommended in this report have been incorporated in their entirety.
- 2) Prior to the issuance of occupancy permits for this development, the municipal building inspector or a Professional Engineer qualified to provide acoustical engineering services in Ontario shall certify that the sound control measures have been properly installed and constructed, as required.









Figure 1: Key Plan









·						
	TOWNHOUSE					
IG REGULATION	Regulation	PROPOSED				
OT AREA (MIN)	6000 m²	32,274.76 m <sup>2</sup>				
IIT WIDTH (MIN)	6.0 m	7.0 m				
ONT YARD (MIN)	4.5 m TO MAIN BUILDING 6.0 m TO GARAGE	6.65 m TO BUILDING 6.90 m TO GARAGE				
OR SIDE YARD (MIN)	1.2 m	3.0 m				
OR SIDE YARD (MIN)	4.5 m	4.5 m				
AR YARD (MIN)	7.5 m	8.5 m				
HEIGHT (MAX)	12.0 m	2 STORIES				
COVERAGE (MAX)	45 %	31.43 %				
PED OPEN SPACE (MIN)	30 %	46.51 %				
VELLING UNITS WITH BLOCK EGISTERED PLAN (MAX)	35.0 UPH	28.2 UPH				
SCALE - 1:500	TITLE SOUTHEAST PART WATSON F THORNDALE, ONTAF	FARM (9 ACRES) DEL19-103				
50 10m	SITE PLAN	N PLAN FILE NO.				

# CURRENT FD-4, PROPOSED R3-9

# SITE DATA TABLE

	1	

#### LEGEND DENOTES ENTRANCE

- DENOTES PROPOSED DRIVEWAY
- DENOTES PROPOSED LANDING/WALKWAY POURED CONCRETE OR PRECAST STONE



- DENOTES DESIGNATED FIRE ROUTE PER OBC 3.2.5
- \_ \_ \_ DENOTES GARAGE LIMIT
- DENOTES EASEMENT LIMIT \_\_\_\_
- CMB DENOTES CANADA POST MAL BOX



Figure 3: Aerial Plan









Figure 4: Predicted Daytime Stationary Noise Sound Levels, Leq [dBA]









		LEGEND	
		DENOTES ENTRANCE	
		DENOTES PROPOSED	DRIVEWAY
		DENOTES PROPOSED POURED CONCRETE	OR PRECAST STONE
		DENOTES DESIGNATE ROUTE PER OBC 3.	D FIRE 2.5
		DENOTES GARAGE L	IMIT
	/	DENOTES EASEMENT	LIMIT
	CA	B DENOTES CANADA POST MAIL BOX	
Force Nois	d Air Ventilation e Barrier		
CUE	SITE DATA TABLE	1.9	
	TOWNHOUSE		
G REGULATION	Regulation	PROPOS	ED
T AREA (MIN)	6000 m²	m <sup>3</sup> 32,274.76 m <sup>2</sup>	
T WIDTH (MIN)	6.0 m	7.0 m	
NT YARD (MIN)	4.5 m TO MAIN BUILDING 6.0 m TO GARAGE	6.65 m TO BUI 6.90 m TO GA	LDING RAGE
R SIDE YARD (MIN)	1.2 m	3.0 m	
R SIDE YARD (MIN)	4.5 m	4.5 m	
AR YARD (MIN)	7.5 m	8.5 m	
EIGHT (MAX)	12.0 m	2 STORIE	s
OVERAGE (MAX)	45 %	31.43 %	
ED OPEN SPACE (MIN)	30 % 48.51 %		
ELLING UNITS WITH BLOCK EGISTERED PLAN (MAX)	35.0 UPH	28.2 UPH	I
SCALE		ARM (9 ACRES)	DEL19-103
SCALE - 1:500	INTROMUTALE, UNIARI	~	
	SITE PLAN		

PLAN FILE No.

1

APPENDIX A Traffic Data







www.hgcengineering.com

ROAD NO.	LOCATION	AVERAGE TRAFFIC COUNT	LENGTH (Km)	BOUNDARY LENGTH	EQUIVALENT LENGTH	DAILY VEH-(Km)
NAIRN RD. 17	CR#81 TO CR#7	1441	2.7		2.7	3891
PARKHILL DR. 18	CR#81 TO THE LAMBTON COUNTY BOUNDARY	1339	11.4		11.4	15265
PETTY ST. 19	CR#7 TO CR#17	4304	5.6		5.6	24102
PETTY ST. 19	CR#17 TO CR#81	2925	9.3		9.3	27203
HYDE PARK RD. 20	LONDON TO CR#16	6993	6.4		6.4	44755
DENFIELD RD. 20	CR#16 TO CR#7	1910	8.4		8.4	16044
DENFIELD RD. 20	CR#7 TO HIGHWAY #4	2296	6.4		6.4	14694
CASSIDY RD. 21	CR#7 TO CR#24	1012	6.1		6.1	6173
EGREMONT DR. 22	LAMBTON COUNTY BOUNDARY TO CR#81	2027	13.4		13.4	27162
EGREMONT DR. 22	CR#81 TO CR#39	3130	6.1		6.1	19093
EGREMONT DR. 22	CR#39 TO CR#16 SOUTH	9704	3.8		3.8	36875
EGREMONT DR. 22	CR#16 SOUTH TO CR#17	8420	7.4		7.4	62308
EGREMONT DR. 22	CR#17 TO LONDON	10274	5.3		5.3	54452
HIGHBURY AVE. 23	HIGHWAY #7 TO CR#16 ILDERTON ROAD	9906	8.2		8.2	81229
HIGHBURY AVE. 23	CR#16 TO LONDON	8735	6.4		6.4	55904
McGILLIVRAY DR. 24	HIGHWAY #4 TO CR#21	974	8.4		8.4	8182
McGILLIVRAY DR. 24	CR#21 TO CR#81	1286	10		10	12860
GORE RD. 25	LONDON TO CR#32	3894	3	0.6	2.7	10514
GORE RD. 25	CR#32 TO OXFORD COUNTY BOUNDARY	2786	7.5		7.5	20895
WILTON GROVE RD. 26	LONDON TO CR#74	2918 (2017)	0.8		0.8	2334
NISSOURI RD. 27	CR#2 TO CR#28	5213	9.3		9.3	48481

ROAD NO.	LOCATION	AVERAGE TRAFFIC COUNT	LENGTH (Km)	BOUNDARY LENGTH	EQUIVALENT LENGTH	DAILY VEH-(Km)
NISSOURI RD. 27	CR#28 TO CR#16	2813	6.2		6.2	17441
WELLBURN RD. 27	CR#16 TO HIGHWAY #7	3010	7		7	21070
THORNDALE RD. 28	OXFORD COUNTY BOUNDARY TO CR#27	<u>4813</u>	7.1		7.1	34172
MEDWAY RD. 28	CR#27 TO CR#23	6829	8.4		8.4	57364
MEDWAY RD. 28	CR#23 TO HIGHWAY #4	7477	5		5	37385
MEDWAY RD. 28	HIGHWAY #4 TO CR#20	6403	5		5	32015
HAMILTON RD. 29	LONDON TO CR#74	8510	0.8		0.8	6808
HAMILTON RD. 29	CR#74 TO CR#32 DORCHESTER	6620	4.9		4.9	32438
HAMILTON RD. 29	CR#32 DORCHESTER TO CR#73	8472	3.4		3.4	28805
HAMILTON RD. 29	CR#73 TO OXFORD COUNTY BOUNDARY	5781	8		8	46248
PUTNAM RD. 30	OXFORD COUNTY BOUNDARY TO CR#29	2803	1.3		1.3	3644
PUTNAM RD. 30	CR#29 PUTNAM TO HIGHWAY #401	5057	1.7		1.7	8597
PUTNAM RD. 30	HIGHWAY #401 TO ELGIN COUNTY BOUNDARY AVON	5125	7.8		7.8	39975
HERITAGE RD. 31	CR#28 TO CR#16	778	6.2		6.2	4824
DORCHESTER RD. 32	CROMARTY DRIVE TO HIGHWAY #401	2562	0.7		0.7	1793
DORCHESTER RD. 32	HIGHWAY #401 TO CR#29	3202	4		4	12808
DORCHESTER RD. 32	CR#29 TO CR#49	8042	0.3		0.3	2413
SHAW RD. 32	CR#49 TO CR#2	4308	4.3		4.3	18524
SECOND ST. 33	CR#81 TO CR#39	6057	3.3		3.3	19988
LITTLEWOOD DR. 35	ONEIDA TO CR#15	4216	1.5		1.5	6324
LITTLEWOOD DR. 35	CR#15 TO LONDON	3610	6.8		6.8	24548

# APPENDIX B

# Sample STAMSON Calculations







STAMSON 5.0 NORMAL REPORT Date: 10-04-2023 16:18:22 MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY / NOISE ASSESSMENT Filename: af2.te Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours Description: Predicted daytime & nighttime sound levels at the upper storey windows of Unit 19, Prediction Location [A] Road data, segment # 1: Thorndale (day/night) \_\_\_\_\_ Car traffic volume : 5458/606 veh/TimePeriod \* Medium truck volume : 314/35 veh/TimePeriod \* Heavy truck volume : 502/56 veh/TimePeriod \* Posted speed limit : 50 km/h Road gradient : 0 % Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete) \* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input: 24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 4813 24 hr frattic volume (...Percentage of Annual GrowthNumber of Years of Growth15.00 Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00 Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 8.00 Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 90.00 Data for Segment # 1: Thorndale (day/night) 

 Angle1
 Angle2
 : -90.00 deg
 90.00 deg

 Wood depth
 :
 0
 (No woods.)

 No of house rows
 :
 0 / 0

 Curface
 :
 1
 (Abcomption)

 1 (Absorptive ground surface) Surface : Receiver source distance : 79.00 / 79.00 m Receiver height : 4.50 / 4.50 m Topography (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier) Topography : 1 Reference angle : 0.00 1 Road data, segment # 2: Nissouri (day/night) \_\_\_\_\_ Car traffic volume : 5767/641 veh/TimePeriod \* Medium truck volume : 331/37 veh/TimePeriod \* Heavy truck volume : 530/59 veh/TimePeriod \* Posted speed limit : 100 km/h Road gradient : 0 % Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete) \* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input: 24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 5213 2 50 Percentage of Annual Growth : 2.50 Number of Years of Growth : 14.00 Medium Truck % of Total Volume: 5.00Heavy Truck % of Total Volume: 8.00Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume: 90.00 Data for Segment # 2: Nissouri (day/night) \_\_\_\_\_ Angle1Angle2: 45.00 deg90.00 degWood depth: 0(No woods)No of house rows: 1 / 0Surface: 1(Absorptive) (No woods.) (Absorptive ground surface) Receiver source distance : 200.00 / 200.00 m Receiver height : 4.50 / 4.50 m Topography 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier) : : 0.00 Reference angle Results segment # 1: Thorndale (day) -----Source height = 1.68 m ROAD (0.00 + 53.66 + 0.00) = 53.66 dBA





Angle1 Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq ------\_\_\_ -----90 90 0.56 66.24 0.00 -11.29 -1.29 0.00 0.00 0.00 53.66 \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ Segment Leq : 53.66 dBA Results segment # 2: Nissouri (day) Source height = 1.68 m ROAD (0.00 + 43.22 + 0.00) = 43.22 dBAAngle1 Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq \_\_\_ 45 90 0.56 72.02 0.00 -17.60 -8.67 0.00 -2.53 0.00 43.22 \_\_\_\_\_ Segment Leq : 43.22 dBA Total Leq All Segments: 54.04 dBA Results segment # 1: Thorndale (night) \_\_\_\_\_ Source height = 1.68 m ROAD (0.00 + 47.14 + 0.00) = 47.14 dBA Angle1 Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq ---- -------------90 90 0.56 59.72 0.00 -11.29 -1.29 0.00 0.00 0.00 47.14 \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_ Segment Leq : 47.14 dBA Results segment # 2: Nissouri (night) Source height = 1.68 m ROAD (0.00 + 39.23 + 0.00) = 39.23 dBAAngle1 Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq \_\_\_ 45 90 0.56 65.50 0.00 -17.60 -8.67 0.00 0.00 0.00 39.23 \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ Segment Leq : 39.23 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 47.79 dBA

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 54.04 (NIGHT): 47.79





STAMSON 5.0 NORMAL REPORT Date: 10-04-2023 16:18:31 MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY / NOISE ASSESSMENT Filename: cf.te Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours Description: Predicted daytime & nighttime sound levels at the upper storey windows of Units 20-24, Prediction Location [C] Road data, segment # 1: Thorndale (day/night) \_\_\_\_\_ Car traffic volume : 5458/606 veh/TimePeriod \* Medium truck volume : 314/35 veh/TimePeriod \* Heavy truck volume : 502/56 veh/TimePeriod \* Posted speed limit : 50 km/h Road gradient : 0 % Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete) \* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input: 24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 4813 Percentage of Annual Growth : 2.50 Number of Years of Growth : 15.00 Medium Truck % of Total Volume:5.00Heavy Truck % of Total Volume:8.00Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume:90.00 Data for Segment # 1: Thorndale (day/night) Angle1Angle2:0.00 deg90.00 degWood depth:0(No woods 
 Wood depth
 :
 0

 No of house rows
 :
 0 / 0

 Surface
 :
 1
 (No woods.) (Absorptive ground surface) Receiver source distance : 50.00 / 50.00 m Receiver height : 4.50 / 4.50 m Topography : 1 : 0.00 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier) Reference angle Road data, segment # 2: Nissouri (day/night) \_\_\_\_\_ Car traffic volume : 5767/641 veh/TimePeriod \* Medium truck volume : 331/37 veh/TimePeriod \* Heavy truck volume : 530/59 veh/TimePeriod \* Posted speed limit : 100 km/h Road gradient : 0 % Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or cond 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete) \* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input: 24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 5213 Percentage of Annual Growth : Number of Years of Growth : 2.50 : 14.00 Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00 Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 8.00 Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 90.00 Data for Segment # 2: Nissouri (day/night) \_\_\_\_\_ Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg 90.00 deg Wood depth:0No of house rows:1 / 1House density:60 %Surface:1 (No woods.) 1 / 1 (Absorptive ground surface) Receiver source distance : 200.00 / 200.00 m Receiver height : 4.50 / 4.50 m Topography : 1 (Flat 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier) Reference angle : 0.00







Results segment # 1: Thorndale (day) \_\_\_\_\_ Source height = 1.68 m ROAD (0.00 + 53.75 + 0.00) = 53.75 dBAAngle1 Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq 0 90 0.56 66.24 0.00 -8.18 -4.30 0.00 0.00 0.00 53.75 \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ Segment Leq : 53.75 dBA Results segment # 2: Nissouri (day) Source height = 1.68 m ROAD (0.00 + 49.86 + 0.00) = 49.86 dBAAnglel Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq -90 90 0.56 72.02 0.00 -17.60 -1.29 0.00 -3.27 0.00 49.86 \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_ Segment Leq : 49.86 dBA Total Leq All Segments: 55.24 dBA Results segment # 1: Thorndale (night) \_\_\_\_\_ Source height = 1.68 mROAD (0.00 + 47.24 + 0.00) = 47.24 dBA Angle1 Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq 0 90 0.56 59.72 0.00 -8.18 -4.30 0.00 0.00 0.00 47.24 \_\_\_\_\_ -----\_\_\_\_\_ Segment Leg : 47.24 dBA Results segment # 2: Nissouri (night) Source height = 1.68 m ROAD (0.00 + 43.34 + 0.00) = 43.34 dBA Anglel Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq - - --90 90 0.56 65.50 0.00 -17.60 -1.29 0.00 -3.27 0.00 43.34 \_\_\_\_\_ Segment Leq : 43.34 dBA Total Leq All Segments: 48.72 dBA

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 55.24 (NIGHT): 48.72







#### Location [E] OLA with Noise Barrier

```
STAMSON 5.0 NORMAL REPORT
                                                                              Date: 10-04-2023 14:10:17
MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY / NOISE ASSESSMENT
                                                             Time Period: 16 hours
Filename: e ola2.te
Description: Predicted daytime & nighttime sound levels in the OLA of Units 66 - 76, Prediction
Location [E] with Mitigation
Road data, segment # 1: Thorndale
              _____
Car traffic volume : 5458 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 314 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 502 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 50 km/h
Road gradient : 0 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)
Data for Segment # 1: Thorndale
Angle1 Angle2 : 0.00 deg 45.00 deg
wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0
Surface : 1 (Absorptive
                                                                                 (Absorptive ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 135.00 m
Receiver height : 1.50 m
Topography : 2
                                                                                 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
Barrier height : 0.00 deg Angle2 : 45.00 deg
Barrier height : 2.40 m
Barrier receiver distance : 15.00 m
Source elevation : 85.00 m
Receiver elevation : 84.50 m
Barrier elevation : 84.50 m
Reference angle : 0.00
                                                   : 0.00
Reference angle
Road data, segment # 2: Nissouri
 _____
Car traffic volume : 5767 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 331 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 530 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 80 km/h
Road gradient : 0 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)
Data for Segment # 2: Nissouri
_____

      Angle1
      Angle2
      : -90.00 deg
      90.00 deg

      Wood depth
      : 0
      (No woods)

      No of house rows
      : 0

      Image: Comparison of the second second
                                                                                   (No woods.)
surface : 1
Receiver source distance : 23.00 m
                                                                                  (Absorptive ground surface)
Receiver height : 1.50 m
Topography : 2
                                                                                 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
Barrier angle1:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::<
Barrier receiver distance : 6.00 m
Source elevation : 85.80 m
Receiver elevation : 85.67 m
Barrier elevation
                                                  : 85.67 m
                                                  : 0.00
Reference angle
Results segment # 1: Thorndale
 _____
Source height = 1.68 m
Barrier height for grazing incidence
  -----
                     ! Receiver ! Barrier ! Elevation of
Source
Height (m) ! Height (m) ! Height (m) ! Barrier Top (m)
                                                   --+----
                   ---+---
           1.68 ! 1.50 ! 1.58 ! 86.08
```

ACOUSTICS NOISE VIBRATION





www.hgcengineering.com

ROAD (0.00 + 39.46 + 0.00) = 39.46 dBA Anglel Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq
0 45 0.51 66.24 0.00 -14.41 -6.26 0.00 0.00 -6.11 39.46
Segment Leq : 39.46 dBA
Results segment # 2: Nissouri
Source height = 1.68 m
Barrier height for grazing incidence
Source ! Receiver ! Barrier ! Elevation of Height (m) ! Height (m) ! Barrier Top (m)
1.68 ! 1.50 ! 1.58 ! 87.25
ROAD (0.00 + 59.21 + 0.00) = 59.21 dBA Angle1 Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq
-90 90 0.51 70.16 0.00 -2.80 -1.19 0.00 0.00 -6.95 59.21

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES: 59.26







# APPENDIX C

# **Acoustical Modelling Assumptions**







The predictive model used for this Assessment (*Cadna-A version 2023 Building 195.5312*) is based on methods from ISO Standard 9613-2.2 "Acoustics - Attenuation of Sound During Propagation Outdoors", which accounts for reduction in sound level with distance due to geometrical spreading, air absorption, ground attenuation and acoustical shielding by intervening structures (or by topography). This modeling technique is acceptable to the MECP.

The subject site and surrounding area were modelled using existing topography and based on observations during the site visit. Foliage was not included in the modelling. Ground attenuation was assumed to be spectral for all sources, with a ground factor (G) of 0.0 for SWP, 0.25 in paved areas, 0.5 for non-paved areas at industrial sites (chosen to yield the best agreement between predictions and onsite measurements based on HGC Engineering experience)and 0.9 for soft ground covers. The temperature and relative humidity were assumed to be 10° C and 70%, respectively.

The predictive modelling considered one order of reflection, the sufficiency of which was verified through an iterative convergence analysis, using successively increasing orders of reflection.

On-site truck movements were modeled as a line source and forklift and loader movements as area sources as they can operate anywhere in the outdoor yard as shown in the appropriate figures.





